

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Brandon Wade Photography, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

Urban Structure, LLC,

Defendant.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Case No:

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

**COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Brandon Wade Photography, LLC (“*Plaintiff*”), by and through its undersigned counsel, for its Complaint against defendant Urban Structure, LLC (“*Defendant*”) states and alleges as follows:

**INTRODUCTION**

1. This action seeks to recover damages for copyright infringement under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C §101 *et seq.*

2. Brandon Wade (“*Wade*”) created a photograph of a high rise in Dallas, Texas (the “*Photograph*”) in which Plaintiff owns the rights and licenses for various uses including online and print publications.

3. Defendant is a structural engineering consulting company which owns and operates a website at domain www.urbanstruct.com (the “*Website*”).

4. Defendant, without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, actively copied and displayed the Photograph on the Website and engaged in this misconduct knowingly and in violation of the United States copyright laws.

**PARTIES**

5. Brandon Wade Photography, LLC is a Texas limited liability company and maintains its principal place of business in Tarrant County, Texas.

6. Upon information and belief, defendant Urban Structure, LLC, is a Texas limited liability company with a principal place of business at 8140 Walnut Hill Lane, Suite 905, Dallas in Dallas County, Texas.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal copyright infringement claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1338(a) and 28 U.S.C. §1331.

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it maintains its principal place of business in Texas.

9. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2) because Defendant does business in this Judicial District and/or because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this Judicial District.

### **FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

#### **A. Plaintiff's Copyright Ownership**

10. Plaintiff is a professional photographer by trade who is the legal and rightful owner of certain photographs which Plaintiff commercially licenses.

11. Plaintiff has invested significant time and money in building Plaintiff's photograph portfolio.

12. Plaintiff has obtained active and valid copyright registrations from the United States Copyright Office (the "USCO") which cover many of Plaintiff's photographs while many others are the subject of pending copyright applications.

13. Plaintiff's photographs are original, creative works in which Plaintiff owns protectable copyright interests.

14. On October 8, 2021, Wade first published the Photograph. A copy of the Photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

15. In creating the Photograph, Wade personally selected the subject matter, timing, lighting, angle, perspective, depth, lens, and camera equipment used to capture the image.

16. On January 4, 2022, the Photograph was registered by the USCO under Registration

No. VA 2-284-645.

17. Wade created the Photograph with the intention of it being used commercially and for the purpose of display and/or public distribution.

18. Wade published the Photograph by commercially licensing it the Dallas Morning News for the purpose of display and/or public distribution.

19. On November 29, 2022, Plaintiff acquired the rights in and to the Video from Wade by way of written assignment.

**B. Defendant's Infringing Activity**

20. Defendant is the registered owner of the Website and is responsible for its content.

21. Defendant is the operator of the Website and is responsible for its content.

22. The Website is a key component of Defendant's popular and lucrative commercial enterprise.

23. The Website is monetized in that it promotes the business and its services to the public and, upon information and belief, Defendant profits from these activities.

24. On or about October 9, 2021, Defendant reproduced and displayed the Photograph on the Website as part of an on-line gallery at URL: <https://www.urbanstruct.com/> (“*Infringement 1*”).

25. Further, Defendant displayed the Photograph on the Website as part of on-line webpages at URLs: <https://www.urbanstruct.com/projects/mid-highrise/the-aster/> (“*Infringement 2*”) and <https://www.urbanstruct.com/news/the-aster-tower-opens-in-turtle-creek/> (“*Infringement 3*”). Copies of screengrabs of the Website including the Photograph are attached hereto collectively as Exhibit 2.

26. The Photograph was stored by Defendant at URL: <https://www.urbanstruct.com/wp-content/uploads/Aster.jpg>.

27. Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff, Defendant volitionally copied and displayed Plaintiff's copyright protected Photograph on the Website.

28. Plaintiff first observed and actually discovered the Infringement on March 25,

2022.

29. Upon information and belief, the Photograph was copied and displayed by Defendant without license or permission, thereby infringing on Plaintiff's copyrights in and to the Photograph (hereinafter the unauthorized uses set forth above are referred to collectively as the "*Infringements*").

30. The Infringements include a URL ("*Uniform Resource Locator*") for a fixed tangible medium of expression that was sufficiently permanent or stable to permit it to be communicated for a period of more than a transitory duration and therefore constitutes a specific infringement.

31. The Infringements are exact copies of Plaintiff's original image that were directly copied and displayed by Defendant on the Website.

32. Upon information and belief, Defendant takes an active and pervasive role in the content posted on its Website, including, but not limited to copying, posting, selecting, commenting on, and/or displaying images including but not limited to Plaintiff's Photograph.

33. Upon information and belief, the Photograph was willfully and volitionally posted to the Website by Defendant.

34. Upon information and belief, Defendant was aware of facts or circumstances from which the determination regarding the Infringements was apparent. Defendant cannot claim that it was not aware of the infringing activities, including the specific Infringements which form the basis of this complaint, since such a claim would amount to only willful blindness to the Infringements on the part of Defendant.

35. Upon information and belief, Defendant engaged in the Infringements knowingly and in violation of applicable United States copyright laws.

36. Upon information and belief, Defendant has the legal right and ability to control and limit the infringing activities on its Website and exercised and/or had the right and ability to exercise such right.

37. Upon information and belief, Defendant monitors the content on its Website.

38. Upon information and belief, Defendant has received a financial benefit directly attributable to the Infringements.

39. Upon information and belief, the Infringements increased traffic to the Website and, in turn, caused Defendant to realize an increase in its business revenues.

40. Upon information and belief, a large number of people have viewed the unlawful copies of the Photograph on the Website.

41. Upon information and belief, Defendant at all times had the ability to stop the reproduction and display of Plaintiff's copyrighted material.

42. Defendant's use of the Photograph harmed the actual market for the Photograph.

43. Defendant's use of the Photograph, if widespread, would harm Plaintiff's potential market for the Photograph.

44. On March 28, 2023, Plaintiff, via counsel, served a letter seeking to address the complaints contained herein concerning Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's rights-protected work.

45. Despite Plaintiff's efforts and willingness to address Defendant's infringing activity, the parties failed to resolve the instant matter, and Plaintiff was forced to seek judicial intervention for Defendant's infringing activity.

46. As a result of Defendant's misconduct, Plaintiff has been substantially harmed.

### **FIRST COUNT**

***(Direct Copyright Infringement, 17 U.S.C. §501 et seq.)***

47. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs, as though set forth in full herein.

48. The Photograph is an original, creative work in which Plaintiff owns a valid copyright.

49. The Photograph is properly registered with the USCO and Plaintiff has complied with all statutory formalities under the Copyright Act and under regulations published by the USCO.

50. Plaintiff has not granted Defendant a license or the right to use the Photograph in any manner, nor has Plaintiff assigned any of its exclusive rights in the copyright to Defendant.

51. Without permission or authorization from Plaintiff and in willful violation of Plaintiff's rights under 17 U.S.C. §106, Defendant improperly and illegally copied, reproduced, distributed, adapted, and/or publicly displayed works copyrighted by Plaintiff thereby violating one of Plaintiff's exclusive rights in its copyrights.

52. Defendant's reproduction of the Photograph and display of the Photograph constitutes willful copyright infringement.

53. Upon information and belief, Defendant willfully infringed upon Plaintiff's copyrighted Photograph in violation of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, in that Defendant used, published, communicated, posted, publicized, and otherwise held out to the public for commercial benefit, Plaintiff's original and unique Photograph without Plaintiff's consent or authority, by using it on the Website.

54. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringements as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504 in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).

55. As a result of the Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, the court in its discretion may allow the recovery of full costs as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505 from Defendant.

56. As a result of Defendant's violations of Title 17 of the U.S. Code, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief to prevent or restrain infringement of his copyright pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502.

**JURY DEMAND**

57. Plaintiff hereby demands a trial of this action by jury.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgment as follows:

That the Court enters a judgment finding that Defendant has infringed on Plaintiff's rights to the Photograph in violation of 17 U.S.C. §501 *et seq.* and therefore award damages and monetary relief as follows:

- a. finding that Defendant infringed Plaintiff's copyright interest in and to the Photograph by copying and displaying it without a license or consent;
- b. for an award of actual damages and disgorgement of all of Defendant's profits attributable to the infringements as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) in an amount to be proven or, in the alternative, at Plaintiff's election, an award for statutory damages against Defendant for each infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), whichever is larger;
- c. for an order pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502(a) enjoining Defendant from any infringing use of any of Plaintiff's works;
- d. for costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees against Defendant pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505;
- e. for pre-judgment interest as permitted by law; and
- f. for any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: May 6, 2024

**SANDERS LAW GROUP**

By: /s/ Craig Sanders  
Craig Sanders, Esq.  
333 Earle Ovington Blvd, Suite 402  
Uniondale, NY 11553  
Tel: (516) 203-7600  
Email: csanders@sanderslaw.group  
File No.: 126191

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*